

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231 WWW. 18910-809.

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09/965,221	09-28-2001	Masakatsu Gotou	501.40695X00	3864
20457	7590 01 08 2003			
ANTONELLI TERRY STOUT AND KRAUS			EXAMINER	
	NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET		LATTIN, CHRISTOPHER W	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22209		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2812	

DATE MAILED: 01/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,221	GOTOU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher W Lattin	2812
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mails earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a resply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ite. cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (y (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ 1	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	wance except for formal ma er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2001 is	s/are: a)∏ accepted or b)⊠ o	objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ d	lisapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in A	application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/965,221

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figures 23A-26B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear how one side of a flat substrate can face another side. See e.g. claim 1 lines 9 and 10.

The term "many" in claims 10, 11, 22 and 23 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "many" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/965,221

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuruta (U.S. Patent 6,200,121) in view of Wensel (U.S. Patent 5,963,792).

Tsuruta teach all of the limitations of the presently claimed manufacturing method including forming a resin enclosure for block-molding a plurality of semiconductor chips by placing a plurality of semiconductor chips inside a cavity of a molding die along with a glass fiber reinforced substrate (a.k.a. FR4) and then injecting a resin inside said cavity from a first side to a second side of a main surface of the substrate, the plurality of semiconductor chips being mounted on the main surface of the substrate from the first side to the second side of the surface with a predetermined space, but fail to teach cleaning the surface of the substrate prior to placing it in the enclosure. Wensel teach that cleaning is required for FR-4 substrates prior to encapsulation to remove unwanted organic compounds and thus obtain sufficiently strong adhesion between the encapsulant material and the FR-4. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to clean the substrate taught by Tsuruta prior to encapsulation to remove unwanted organic compounds and thus obtain sufficiently strong adhesion between the encapsulant material and the FR-4 as taught by Wensel. See Wensel column 2 lines 39-44. Tsuruta also fails to specify how or if the chips are

Application/Control Number: 09/965,221

Art Unit: 2812

connected to substrates. Wensel teaches wire bonding to electrically connect the chips to the substrate. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to utilize wire bonds to connect the chips to the substrate.

Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuruta (U.S. Patent 6,200,121) in view of Wensel (U.S. Patent 5,963,792) and Hsu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,338,813).

Tsuruta teach all of the limitations of the presently claimed manufacturing method including forming a resin enclosure for block-molding a plurality of semiconductor chips by placing a plurality of semiconductor chips inside a cavity of a molding die along with a glass fiber reinforced substrate (a.k.a. FR4) and then injecting a resin inside said cavity from a first side to a second side of a main surface of the substrate, the plurality of semiconductor chips being mounted on the main surface of the substrate from the first side to the second side of the surface with a predetermined space, but fail to teach cleaning the surface of the substrate prior to placing it in the enclosure. Wensel teach that cleaning is required for FR-4 substrates prior to encapsulation to remove unwanted organic compounds and thus obtain sufficiently strong adhesion between the encapsulant material and the FR-4. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to clean the substrate taught by Tsuruta prior to encapsulation to remove unwanted organic compounds and thus obtain sufficiently strong adhesion between the encapsulant material and the FR-4 as taught by Wensel. See Wensel column 2 lines 39-44. Tsuruta also fails to specify that multiple chips could

Art Unit: 2812

be stacked prior to encapsulation. Hsu et al. teach a method of stacking chips prior to encapsulation, which conserves space, reduces circuit lengths and thus increases device speed. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to stack devices prior to the encapsulation taught by Tsuruta in order to increase device speed as taught by Hsu et al. See also Hsu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,462,421) for explanation of the benefits of forming chip stacks.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Lattin whose telephone number is (703) 305-3017. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling, can be reached at (703) 308-3325. The fax numbers for this Group are (703) 872-9318 for responses to non-final actions and (703) 872-9319 responses to final actions.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 2800

CWL 2 __ January 6, 2003